

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,027	699,027 10/27/2000		Jonathan L. Sessler	4201.01 US	6781
32270	7590	10/04/2004		EXAM	INER
VINIT G. k	CATHAR	RDEKAR	LUKTON, DAVID		
PHARMACYCLICS, INC. 995 E. ARQUES AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			1653	
				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
D	09/699,027	SESSLER ET AL.
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Lukton	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address –
9 16 0 4 1. ⊠ The amendment filed on under 37 CFR 1.312 ha	as been considered, and has	been:
a) 🗌 entered.	·	
b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	ng the scope of the invention.	
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a	ifter the payment of the issue	fee.
Any amendment filed after the date the issue to and the required fee to withdraw the application		nied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)
d) 🛛 disapproved. See explanation below.		
e) entered in part. See explanation below.		
First, the listing of claims is not correct. Claims are now listed as "previously withdrawn", rather than car		celled by Examiner's Amendment, but
Second, in claim 24, second-to-last line, the phrase "an was inserted pursuant to the Examiner's Amendment.	d ascorbate" is underlined, w	hich it should not be, since this phrase
Third, the amendment to claim 28 will not be entered, sin Examiner's Amendemnt.	nce the indicated amendmer	t has already been directed by the
Q. Lukton 9/23/04		JON WEBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER